

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

HK7-020CPUS		
HKZ-029CPUS 2245		
EXAMINER		
ROARK, JESSICA H		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1644	TALER NOMBER	
	ROARK, JI ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/646,561	SIM ET AL.		
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jessica H. Roark	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averal final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE OF THE CASE	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See contraction sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting the application in condition for allowance be 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because of the final prior time.	ecause: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 40-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	PHILLI PHILLI	MAGAMO, E IP GAMBEL, PH.D IABY EXAMINER TOCH CENTONIOC (0/17/07	Ð	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∐ approved or b)∐ disappı	roved by the Examir	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ıt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>				

Continuation of 2. Note:

the newly added limitation that the protein stimulates T cell proliferation in the presence of an antigen raises new issues that require further consideration and raises the issue of New Matter

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): it appears that Applicant's reply, had it been entered, would have overcome all rejections of record in Paper No. 21.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as noted supra, the amendment has not been entered, thus the rejections are maintained for the reasons of record.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's Petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) to delete an Inventor, filed 9/22/03, is acknowledged. The request t correct the inventorship of this nonprovisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(a) is deficient because: the Declaration submitted has non-initialed changes. A new Declaration should be submitted to correct this deficiency.